IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM G. SOHNGEN and DIANE SOHNGEN, Plaintiffs, v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., and LOUISVILLE LADDER GROUP, LLC. Defendant.)))) Civil Action No. 04-1407))
Verdict	Slip
I-PRODUCTS LIABILITY	
Question 1:	
Did the Plaintiffs prove by a prepondera Defendant Louisville Ladder was defective who Ladder?	nce of the evidence that the ladder sold by en it left the possession of Louisville
Yes: No:	_
If you answered "Yes", proceed to question 2 questions 2, 3 or 4 and proceed to question 5	
Question 2:	
Did the Plaintiffs prove by a preponderal factual cause of any harm to the Plaintiff, William	
Yes:No:	_

If you answered "Yes", proceed to question 3; if you answered "No", do not answer

questions 3 or 4, and proceed to question 5.

William Sohngen, assumed the risk of the defect?

Yes:_____ No:____

Question	n 3:		
	-		derance of the evidence that the ladder sold by it left the possession of Home Depot?
	Yes:	No:	
•	nswered "Yes", n 4 and proceed	•	on 4; if you answered "No", do not answer
Question	n 4:		
	,		onderance of the evidence that the defect was William Sohngen?
	Yes:	No:	

b) Did the Defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff,

II- NEGLIGENCE

Question 5:
a) Did the Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Louisville Ladder Group was negligent?
Yes: No:
If you answered "Yes" to question 5a, proceed to question 5b; if you answered "No" do not answer question 5b and proceed to question 6.
b) Did the Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the negligence of Defendant Louisville Ladder Group was a factual cause of harm to Plaintiff William Sohngen?
Yes: No:
Question 6: a) Did the Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant
Home Depot was negligent? Yes: No:
Yes: No:
If you answered "Yes" to question 6a, proceed to question 6b; if you answered "No" do not answer question 6b and proceed to question 7.
b) Did the Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the negligence of Defendant Home Depot was a factual cause of harm to Plaintiff William Sohngen?
Yes: No:

If you	answered "Ye	es" to question	5b or 6b,	proceed to	question7; if	you answered
"No"	to question 5b	and 6b, proceed	ed to part	III.		

<u> </u>	$\overline{}$
Question	
Question	1

a) Did the Defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Plainti	iff,
William Sohngen, was contributorily negligent?	

Yes:	No:	

b) Did the Defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Plaintiff, William Sohngen, assumed the risk?

Yes:	No: _	V

If you answered question 7a and 7b "No", proceed to question 9. If you answered question 7a "Yes" and 7b "No", proceed to question 8. Regardless of how you answered question 7a, if you answered question 7b "Yes", proceed to part III.

Question 8:

If you answered question 7 "Yes", did the Defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Plaintiff, William Sohngen's contributory negligence was a factual cause of any harm to him?

Yes:	No:	
	-	$\overline{}$

Question 9:

If you find that more than one party was negligent, taking the combined negligence that was a factual cause of any harm to the Plaintiff William Sohngen as 100 percent, what percentage of that casual negligence was attributable to each Defendant and what percentage was attributable to the Plaintiff?

a) Percentage of causal negligence attributable to Defendant Louisville Ladder Group (Answer only if you have answered "Yes" to questions 5a and 5b for Defendant Louisville Ladder Group).

b) Percentage of causal negligence attributable to Defendant Home Depot (Answer only if you have answered "Yes" to questions 6a and 6b for Defendant Home Depot).

60 %

c) Percentage of causal negligence attributable to the Plaintiff William Sohngen (Answer only if you have answered "Yes" to questions 7 and 8).

<u>40 </u>%

Total 100 %

III - DAMAGES

If you answered

A) "Yes" to any one of question 2 or question 4 and "No" to question 4b;

or

B) "Yes" to any of question 5b or question 6b and "No" to question 7b

state the amount of damages, if any, sustained by the Plaintiffs as a result of the accident, without regard to and without reduction by the percentage of causal negligence, if any, that you attributed to the Plaintiff William Sohngen in question 9c.

Past medical expenses \$ 118 000

Future medical expenses \$_/00,000.

Past wage loss \$ 50,000.

Future wage loss/earning capacity \$ 350,000.

Past, present and future pain and suffering, embarrassment and humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life \$ \(\frac{100,000.}{000.} \)

Disfigurement \$ 10,000.

TOTAL \$ 628,000.

Diane Sohngen's loss of Consortium \$ 50,000.

Members of the Jury

5. Jana Piersol
6. Marlene Sutho
7. Karen Capield
8. Linan Sheur

Dated: 9/9/08